

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA Alexandria Division

SIMON TUSHA,

٧.

Plaintiff,

No. 1:20-cv-00726-TSE-TCB

EDGE MISSION CRITICAL SYSTEMS, LLC, AND DIVERSITEC, LLC,

Defendants.

### PLAINTIFF'S REPLY TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS OR TRANSFER VENUE

Simon Tusha, Plaintiff, pro se, hereby responds to the above referenced Motion to Dismiss or Transfer Venue as

#### Follows:

1. Plaintiff avers that 28 U.S.C. § 1391 is the authority that determines where proper venue lies in a Federal lawsuit, however, Defendant's motion is disingenuous in that Rule 12(b)(3) is not a procedural mechanism to dismiss a matter on the basis of venue unless venue is "wrong" or "improper". See Atlantic Marine Construction Company, Inc., v United States District Court, W.D. TEXAS, 571 U.S. 49, 134 S.Ct. 568, 187 L. Ed. 2d 487; 2013 LEXIS 8775.

Atlantic Marine sought to enforce a venue selection clause by seeking dismissal of the suit under s 1406(a) and Rule 12(b)(3). The Supreme Court rejected that position holding that:

### 2. The Supreme Court then held:

"Whether the parties entered into a contract containing a forum-selection clause has no bearing on whether a case falls into one of the categories of cases listed in s 1391(b). As a result, a case filed in a district that falls within s 1391(b) may not be dismissed under s 1406(a) or Rule 12(b)(3). Id.

- 3. The Supreme Court found that § 1391(b) determines the venue and nothing else. The Atlantic Court held:
  - "...venue is proper so long as the requirements of s 1391(b) are met, irrespective of any forum-selection clause, also follows from our prior decisions construing the federal venue statutes. In Van Dusen v Barrack, 376 U.S. 612, 84 S.Ct. 805, 11 L. E 1993), we considered the meaning of s 1404(a), which {2013 U.S. LEXIS 16} authorizes a district court to "transfer any civil action to another district or division where it might have been brought." The question in Van Dusen was whether s 1404(a) allows transfer to a district in which proper venue under s 1391 but in which the case could not have been pursued in light of substantive state-law limitations on the suit. See Id., at 614-615, 84 S.Ct. 805, 11 L. Ed. 2d 498} in that context, we
  - 2d 945. In holding that transfer is permissible {187 L. Ed. 2d 498} in that context, we construed the phrase "where it might have been brought" to refer to "the federal laws delimiting the districts in which such an action 'may be brought," id., at 624, 84 S.Ct. 805, 11 L.Ed. 2d 945, noting that "the phrase 'may be brought' recurs at least 10 times" in ss 1391-1406, id., at
  - 622, 84 S.Ct. 805, 11 L. Ed. 2d 945. We perceived "no valid reason for reading the words 'where it might have been brought' to narrow the range of permissible federal forums beyond those permitted by federal venue statutes." Id., t 623, 84 S.Ct. 805, 11 L. Ed. 2d 945.
- 4. Although there also exists a venue clause in the contract at issue, that references VIRGINIA federal or state courts as proper forums, in this case, there exists diversity jurisdiction and Plaintiff avers that VIRGINIA is in fact the appropriate venue pursuant to § 1391(b). Further, there is no question that the Eastern District of Virginia is the proper venue. The Defendants seeks "dismissal" or transfer to the "division" from Arlington Virginia to Richmond Virginia, a "division" of "Defendant's" choosing under § 1404(a), which provides a very narrow and limited basis upon which a court may do so. Dismissal sought by the Defendants is not available for the following reasons:
  - 1. The question of whether venue is "wrong" or "improper" is generally government by 28 U.S.C. § 1391. When venue is challenged, the court must determine whether the case falls into one of the three categories set out it § 1391(b). If it does, venue is proper. If it does not, venue is improper, and the case must be dismissed or transferred under § 1406(a). Atl. Marine supra.

In this case, venue is clearly proper under § 1391(b)(1)(2) as set forth in the Defendant's motion. 1406(a)

2. When the parties entered into a contract containing a forum-selection clause has now bearing on whether a case falls into one of the categories listed in 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b). As a result a case (as this one) that falls within s 1391(b) may not be dismissed under 28 U.S.C. §1404(a) or Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(3). Atl. Marine supra.

The Atlantic Court also held that 28 U.S.C. § 1391 governs "venue generally," that is, in cases where more specific venue provision does not apply. Id. That leaves 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a) which does provide a mechanism for enforcement of forum- selection clauses to a particular federal district. However, the Defendants deny that any contractual relationship even exists, thus more weight should be given to Plaintiff's choice of venue which takes into account fairness in distance to both,

#### Plaintiff and the Defendants:

- a) The Eastern District of Virginia, Norfolk Division, Newport News Division, Richmond Division and Arlington Division.
- b) The difference in distance to any one of the "divisions" for the Defendants is insignificant in that any of the divisions are within the 100-mile subpoena power of the Defendants residence, Richmond, thus there is no convenience factor relevant to witnesses or the Defendants.
- c) The Plaintiff's choice of venue takes this into consideration in that Richmond and Arlington are no more than 90 miles apart and likewise, about 90 miles for the Plaintiff who resides in Baltimore, Maryland.
- d) There is no interest of justice that can be served by transferring this matter to the Richmond division that is within the same Eastern District thus that factor here, should not be a serious consideration.
- e) There are no state law concerns at issue because the parties agree that Virginia law governs.
- 5, The Plaintiff agrees that the Court has authority to transfer the case to any division based upon the 4 Factors relevant to such a transfer, however, seeks the Court to consider the factors giving more weight to Plaintiff's choice of venue given the closeness in proximity

between the Defendants and the Plaintiff to the Arlington Division; and because there is no other should the Court retain the case in Arlington Virginia.

6. For the reasons stated, Plaintiff requests this Honorable Court to DENY Defendants

Motion to dismiss and/or to transfer this matter to the Richmond Division and retain the matter in the Arlington Division.

Respectfully submitted,

Simon Tusha

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on the 28th day of July, 2020, I caused a copy of the foregoing to be mailed via first-class mail, postage prepaid, to:

Bryan A. Fratkin (VSB No. 38933) Ashley P. Peterson (VSB No. 87904) McGuire Woods LLP Gateway Plaza 800 East Canal Street Richmond, VA 23219

> Simon Tusha 1060 Hidden Moss Drive Cockeysville, MD 21030

**Pro Se Plaintiff** 

### United States District Court

for the Eastern District of Virginia SIMON TUSHA Plaintiff(s) Civil Action No. 1:20-CV-00726-TSE-TCB EDGE MISSION CRITICAL SYSTEMS, LLC, AND DIVERSITEC, LLC, Defendant(s) SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION To: (Defendant's name and address) EDGE MISSION CRITICAL SYSTEMS, LLC, DIVERSITEC, LLC, 14321 Sommerville Court, Suite 100 Midlothian, VA 23113-6837 A lawsuit has been filed against you. Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: SIMON TUSHA 1060 HIDDEN MOSS DR COCKEYSVILLE, MD 21030 If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court. **CLERK OF COURT** Date: Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Civil Action No. 1:20-CV-00726-TSE-TCB

#### **PROOF OF SERVICE**

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

eeived by me on (date)	•		
☐ I personally served	the summons on the individual a	at (place)	
		on (date)	; or
☐ I left the summons	at the individual's residence or u	sual place of abode with (name)	
	, a person	n of suitable age and discretion who res	sides there,
on (date)	, and mailed a copy to	the individual's last known address; or	
☐ I served the summo	ons on (name of individual)		, who
designated by law to a	accept service of process on beha	alf of (name of organization)	
		on (date)	; or
☐ I returned the sumn	nons unexecuted because		; (
Other (specify):			
My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00
I declare under penalty	of perjury that this information	is true.	
		Server's signature	
		Printed name and title	
		Server's address	

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the						
Eastern District of Virginia						
SIMON TUSHA  Plaintiff(s)	) ) ) )					
v.  EDGE MISSION CRITICAL SYSTEMS, LLC, AND DIVERSITEC, LLC,	) Civil Action No. 1:20-CV-00726-TSE-TCB ) ) )					
Defendant(s)	)					
SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION						
To: (Defendant's name and address)  EDGE MISSION CRITIC DIVERSITEC, LLC, 14321 Sommerville Cour Midlothian, VA 23113-68	rt, Suite 100					
A lawsuit has been filed against you.						
are the United States or a United States agency, or an of P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an a						
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.						
	CLERK OF COURT					
Date:	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk					

Civil Action No. 1:20-CV-00726-TSE-TCB

### PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

		me of individual and title, if any)				
was re	ceived by me on (date)	·				
	☐ I personally served	the summons on the individual	at (place)			
			on (date)	; or		
	I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with (name)  , a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there on (date)  , and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or  I served the summons on (name of individual)					
	designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of (name of organization)					
			on (date)	_ ; or		
	☐ I returned the sumr	nons unexecuted because		; or		
	Other (specify):					
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00		
	I declare under penalt	y of perjury that this informatio	n is true.			
Date:						
			Server's signature			
			Printed name and title			
			Server's address	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Simon Tusha 1060 Hidden Moss Dr Cockeys ville MD 21030



U.S. POSTAGE PAID FCM LETTER COCKEYSVILLE, MD

US DISTRICT Court 401 Courthouse Sq. AlexANDRIA, VA ZZ314

 $\{j_1,j_2,j_3\},\{j_1,j_2,j_3\},\{j_1,j_2,j_3\},\{j_1,j_2\},\{j_1,j_2\},\{j_1,j_2\},\{j_1,j_2\},\{j_1,j_2\},\{j_1,j_2\},\{j_1,j_2\},\{j_1,j_2\},\{j_1,j_2\},\{j_1,j_2\},\{j_1,j_2\},\{j_1,j_2\},\{j_1,j_2\},\{j_1,j_2\},\{j_1,j_2\},\{j_1,j_2\},\{j_1,j_2\},\{j_1,j_2\},\{j_1,j_2\},\{j$ 

